Advocacy Scholarship and Affirmative Action.

Charles F. Abernathy, Georgetown University Law Center

**Document Type**  
Book Review

**Publication Date**  
1997

**Abstract**  
The books, *The New Color Line* by Paul Craig Roberts and Lawrence M. Stratton, and *Won't Go Back* by Charles R. Lawrence III and Mari J. Matsuda, debate the problem of reform and overreaching in tough, advocacy-oriented prose. The first argues that racial reform was necessary but has gone too far—has even become morally self-corrupting—by its adoption of quotas and affirmative action. The second argues that racial reform was necessary and that we need more, not less affirmative action, and not only for blacks, but for women, Asian-Americans, Chicanos, poor people, and generally all "subordinated classes." Its title, therefore, is somewhat misleading, for the authors not only resist going back, they also wish to push for more quotas and affirmative action for additional groups.
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