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In lieu of an abstract, here is a brief excerpt of the content:
Abraham Melamed here traces the contrast of ancients with moderns among Jewish scholars, through numerous disputes in the disciplines of philosophy, law, medicine, geography, and historiography, from the tenth to the eighteenth century. The rhetorical device of contrasting ancients and moderns through the metaphor in the title generally appeared in book prefaces, to adjust readers' respect for texts and authors. The general configuration of the arguments and the historical evolution of their literary form constantly recall European history of the topic, but Melamed helpfully assembles a large range of authors and texts that have rarely been treated together.

The numerous confrontations between authorities and dissenters during this long period produced various structures of argument and many different metaphors. Some contrasted ancients with moderns to claim absolute superiority for the ancients, as in the Talmudic statement, "The fingernail of the earlier generations is better than the whole body of the later generations." (Yoma, 9b) Other writers exaggerated their inferiority to the ancients as a polite concession before going on to justify their innovations. After defining the problem and its terms in Jewish scholarly life, starting from the book of Ecclesiastes and the Talmud, Melamed deals in the second chapter with Jewish scholars before Maimonides (1135-1204). The third and longest chapter considers Maimonides' direct confrontation of the problem of authority, which his influential legal and philosophical writings provoked.
Instead of deferring to the most ancient authorities, Maimonides disqualified consideration of any writer's religious, national, and historical identity and endorsed the statement, "Accept truth from whoever says it."

The fourth chapter accounts for philosophers after Maimonides, such as Gersonides, Falaquera, Jewish Averroists, and Isaac Abravanel. The fifth chapter examines the spread of the problem and its formulations in legal discussion. Rabbi Isaiah of Trani the elder, a legal codifier from the thirteenth century, introduced among Jewish thinkers a new metaphor for ancients and moderns. To justify preferring the truth of a statement to the authority of the ancient speaker, Isaiah cites a story "from the greatest of the philosophers among the nations, whom we can recognize to have been John of Salisbury, crediting Bernard of Chartres: 'The ancients were wiser and knew more than we, but we justifiably contradict their words in many places. How is this possible? . . . Who sees farther, the dwarf or the giant? Obviously the giant, whose eyes are higher than the eyes of the dwarf. But if you seat the dwarf on the neck of the giant, who now sees farther? . . . Thus we are dwarves sitting on the necks of giants, because we see their wisdom and add to it; from their wisdom we have learned everything we say, not because we are greater than they.'" Later Hebrew writers turned the dwarf into a child or monkey, the neck became the shoulder or back, and the contribution of the moderns became, not adding to the ancients, but studying more profoundly.

The final chapter, "The Renaissance," connects Jewish writers of the sixteenth to the eighteenth centuries in the disciplines of Bible commentary, geography, Kabbalah, and philosophy to the reformulation found in Chirurgia Magna (1363) of the French physician, Guy de Chauliac: John of Salisbury's dwarves have turned into children, who have climbed from the giant's shoulders to his neck. Melamed attributes the importance of Chauliac's book to its "popularity," in our colloquial sense, but Jewish writers probably knew it because so many of them were physicians.
Defending the ancients against moderns became less important among Jews, [End Page 1268] as among others in Europe, when the areas of conflict changed to empirical topics. In considering the discovery of a "new world," for example, Azariah de' Rossi (d. 1575) argued laboriously that King Solomon's trade with remote countries had included trade with America, so that the "new world" was...
God’s will and a focus not on death but eternal life. Extending this logic to the res publica, Loris Petris astutely notes the link between Neo-Stoicism and political conservatism among Renaissance magistrates, who typically view social and governmental injustice as a trial to be endured rather than changed.

On the other hand, the authors are quick to emphasize the Stoic tenets that trouble Renaissance humanists: the pride of the Stoic sage is difficult to reconcile with Christian humility, his impassivity runs counter to the emotional fervor of Reform meditation, and his radical self-reliance is unmitigated by divine grace and providence. Consequently, the Renaissance humanists whose works figure in this volume borrow discerningly from their Stoic predecessors, adopting tenets that are consistent with, or easily adaptable to, their own faith and experience. Jacqueline Lagrée likens this selective syncretism to Seneca’s metaphor of the bee, whose honey represents both a triage and a composite of the nectar it has appropriated from multiple sources. In the writings of these Renaissance humanists, the admixture that emerges from this “digestive” process is both a Christianized rendering of Stoicism and an eclectic, “stocized” Christianity.

One notable omission in the volume is an essay devoted entirely to one or more women writers of the Renaissance. References to Marguerite de Navarre’s rhetoric of consolation figure prominently in Jean Leconte’s excellent chapter on Budé, but a separate and in-depth paper on the use and rejection of Stoic commonplaces in women’s writing would be welcome. Overall, however, the collection is distinguished by sound erudition, insightful readings, and a sense of intellectual commerce that transcends national boundaries and links humanists and litterati through the ages. This impression is enhanced by the seven short tributes to Michel Simonin (1947–2000) that not only conclude the volume but also tie together the preceding two hundred pages by revisiting the themes of humanitas and collegiality, mourning and consolation, the joy of literature and learning, and the question of how to live and die well.
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